#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

ISSN:- 2231-5063

# **Golden Research Thoughts**

Abstract:-



### **D.M.Marathe**

R.C.Patel A.C.S.

In this paper we proposed the system for CBIR. How captures the images from various web servers. CBIR it is a program to retrieve images, captures only those images whose satisfies some criterion. User enter the content then web College, Shirpur. crawler crawl the images from various web servers. Retrieve the images using Decision Tree, K Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Association rule, Cluster analysis; pattern matching etc these

## **ANALYSIS OF THE PERFORMANCE OF CBIR USING DATA MINING TECHNIQUES**





### **M.Y.Patil**

R.C.Patel A.C.S. College, Shirpur. methods can be used. Crawling technique are used to retrieve the images from various web server. Crawling is a process to discover new and updated pages to be added in web servers. Comparative analysis of techniques done in WEKA S/W

**Keywords:** 

Content based image retrieval, Mining or retrieval techniques and crawler.



#### www.aygrt.isrj.org

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Image retrieval means to captures and accept images from web. In retrieval or mining process we use some kinds of techniques just, Classification, Association Rule, Cluster, Pattern analysis etc.

**Association Rule:** - Association Rule is an important class of regularities in web data. Association rule discovery and statistical correlation analysis can find groups of items. In Association rule use SUPPORT & CONFIDENCE to calculate which image should retrieve.

**Cluster-** Clustering is the process of organizing data instances into groups whose members are similar in some way. A cluster is therefore a collection of data instances which are "similar" to each other and are "dissimilar" to data instances in other clusters.

**Crawler:** - It is simple automated program or script that automatically scans or crawl images as per the user query terms. Capture images in Hundreds of Millions of images.

**Decision Tree:** Decision tree learning is one of the most widely used techniques for classification. Its classification accuracy is competitive with other learning methods, and it is very efficient.

**KNN:-** K-Nearest Neighbors algorithms used for to find pattern recognition.



Fig. 1 CBIR Process from internet image

#### **COMPARISON OF TECHNIQUES:-**

By extensive study and analysis some of the important techniques for image retrieving from web. It is concluded that each technique has some relative strengths and limitation. A detailed comparison of image retrieving techniques studied is shown in table 1.

Sr.No.	Classification	Property	Average	Comments		
1	Decision Tree	Finds a set of thresholds for a pattern- dependent sequence of features	Overtraining sensitive, need pruning			
2	KNN	optimization of two or more layers	Sensitive to training parameters, slow training			
3	Cluster	Captures same group of objects	66	Mostly for Dataset		
4	SVM	Support vector	92	Scale dependent, iterative, slow training,		

#### Table 1. Classification of Datamining techniques

As per review some research paper, literature study to compare some Datamining techniques for Image retrieval. In table 1 to show strengths and limitation Some researcher and developer defining some techniques for CBIR.

Golden Research Thoughts | Volume 4 | Issue 6 | Dec 2014

#### **Experiment:-**

To collect the data of various techniques use to retrieve the images from web servers. Some mining techniques are Decision tree, pattern, classification, association rule and KNN (k-Nearest Neighbors. Which are the best techniques use to retrieve the image as accurate, fast to client.

E.g. Suppose we create one database file In Ms\_Access File The WEKA package will be used for the analysis of collected data. Based on analysis, interpretation will be made to reach the meaningful conclusions. To perform operation in collected data in WEKA

<u>6</u>	SQL-Viewer		×	
Connection				
URL jdbc:odbc:cust	dbc:odbc:cust User Connec			
Query				
select * from a	analysis	Exec	ute	
		Cle	ar	
		Histo	rv	
		max. rows	100 🤤	
Result				
Row Techniques A	Avg	Cle	ose	
1 Decision Tree	92	Clos	se all	
2 KNN	88	Deur		
3 Cluster	66	Re-use	e query	
4 SVM	90	Optima	al width	
Query 1				
Info				
connecting to: jdbc	:odbc:cust = true		Clear	
Query: select = from 4rows selected.	n analysis		Сору	
	Current query: select * from analysis	OK	Cancel	
		UN	Caricer	

Classification "Yes" and "No" Class type

2					W	eka Explore				>
reprocess	Classify	Cluster	Associate	Select attributes	Visualize					
Open fi	le	Ope	n URL	Open DB		Generate	Undo	E	dit	Save
Filter										
Choose	None									Apply
Current rela	tion					Selected	attribute			
Relation: Instances:	QueryRe 4	esult	At	tributes: 3		Name Missing	e: Best g: 0 (0%)	Distinct: 2	Type: N Unique: 1	ominal (25%)
Attributes						No.	Label		Count	
٨		Non	•	Invert	Pattern		1 yes		3	
<b>^</b> "		THOIR .	-	intere	rattern		2 no		1	
2	Avg Best					Class: Be	st (Nom)		~	Visualize A
						3				
			Remove						1	
Status OK									Log	

RESULT:-

Tree view ==== Run information ====

Scheme:weka.classifiers.rules.DecisionTable-X1-S"weka.attributeSelection.BestFirst-D1-N5" Relation: QueryResult

3

Golden Research Thoughts | Volume 4 | Issue 6 | Dec 2014

Instances:4 Attributes:3 Tech Avg Best Test mode: evaluate on training data === Classifier model (full training set) === Decision Table: Number of training instances: 4 Number of Rules : 1 Non matches covered by Majority class. Best first. Start set: no attributes Search direction: forward Stale search after 5 node expansions Total number of subsets evaluated: 3 Merit of best subset found: 75 Evaluation (for feature selection): CV (leave one out) Feature set: 3 Time taken to build model: 0seconds === Evaluation on training set === === Summary === Correctly Classified Instances 3 75 % 25 1 % Incorrectly Classified Instances Kappa statistic 0 Mean absolute error 0.45 0.4583 Root mean squared error Relative absolute error 108 % 103.923 % Root relative squared error Total Number of Instances 4

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

 TP Rate
 FP Rate
 Precision
 Recall
 F-Measure
 ROC Area
 Class

 1
 1
 0.75
 1
 0.857
 0.5
 yes

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0.5
 no

 Weighted Avg.
 0.75
 0.75
 0.563
 0.75
 0.643
 0.5

=== Confusion Matrix ===

ab <-- classified as 30|a=yes 10|b=no

Visualize Output:-



Colour: Best (Nom)	SubSample % : 100
Class Colour	
Yes	no
Statue	
OK	

#### Golden Research Thoughts | Volume 4 | Issue 6 | Dec 2014 4



Visualize All-

**CONCLUSION:-**

This paper has attempted to for the purpose of Comparative analysis of CBIR which is best (Datamining techniques) uses to retrieve the images. The proposed methods were successfully tested. The results which were obtained after the analysis were satisfactory and contained valuable information about the image retrieval. It is concluded that existing techniques have some limitations and strengths. So this paper gives summarization of the different data mining techniques with their functionality for content based image retrieval systems.

#### **REFERNCES:-**

1. Web data mining- Bing Liu 2. Lashari S. A. and Ibrahim R. Comparative Analysis of data mining techniques. 3.Sayed thousif hussain-Extracting images from web mining. 4.Kotsiantis, S. B. Supervised machine learning: A review of classification techniques, Informatica. 2007, Volume (31), pp. 249-268. 5.Rajshree S. Dubey- Image Mining using CBIR 6.Dr. H.B.Kekre-Augmentation of Block Truncation Coding based image retrieval 7.Ritendra Datta- CBIR approaches and trends 8.A. K. Jain and R. C. Dubes, -Algorithms for Clustering Data

Golden Research Thoughts | Volume 4 | Issue 6 | Dec 2014 5

