Vol 6 Issue 4 May 2016

ISSN No : 2230-7850

International Multidisciplinary Research Journal

Indían Streams Research Journal

Executive Editor Ashok Yakkaldevi Editor-in-Chief H.N.Jagtap



Welcome to ISRJ

ISSN No.2230-7850

Indian Streams Research Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial board.Readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

Regional Editor

Manichander Thammishetty Ph.d Research Scholar, Faculty of Education IASE, Osmania University, Hyderabad.

Mr. Dikonda Govardhan Krushanahari Professor and Researcher, Rayat shikshan sanstha's, Rajarshi Chhatrapati Shahu College, Kolhapur.

International Advisory Board

Kamani Perera Regional Center For Strategic Studies, Sri Lanka

RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

Janaki Sinnasamy Librarian, University of Malaya

Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania

Delia Serbescu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania

Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur

Titus PopPhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania

Mohammad Hailat Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Carolina Aiken

Abdullah Sabbagh Engineering Studies, Sydney

Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania

Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

George - Calin SERITAN Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Sciences Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi

Hasan Baktir English Language and Literature Department, Kayseri

Ghayoor Abbas Chotana Dept of Chemistry, Lahore University of Management Sciences[PK]

Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania

Ilie Pintea, Spiru Haret University, Romania

Xiaohua Yang PhD, USA

.....More

Editorial Board

Pratap Vyamktrao Naikwade Iresh Swami ASP College Devrukh, Ratnagiri, MS India Ex - VC. Solapur University, Solapur

R. R. Patil Head Geology Department Solapur University, Solapur

Rama Bhosale Prin. and Jt. Director Higher Education, Panvel

Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University,Kolhapur

Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai

Chakane Sanjay Dnyaneshwar Arts, Science & Commerce College, Indapur, Pune

N.S. Dhaygude Ex. Prin. Dayanand College, Solapur

Narendra Kadu Jt. Director Higher Education, Pune

K. M. Bhandarkar Praful Patel College of Education, Gondia

Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain

G. P. Patankar S. D. M. Degree College, Honavar, Karnataka Shaskiya Snatkottar Mahavidyalaya, Dhar

Maj. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director, Hyderabad AP India.

S.Parvathi Devi

Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur

R. R. Yalikar Director Managment Institute, Solapur

Umesh Rajderkar Head Humanities & Social Science YCMOU,Nashik

S. R. Pandya Head Education Dept. Mumbai University, Mumbai

Alka Darshan Shrivastava

Rahul Shriram Sudke Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore

S.KANNAN

Ph.D.-University of Allahabad

Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play, Meerut(U.P.)

Sonal Singh, Vikram University, Ujjain Annamalai University, TN

Satish Kumar Kalhotra Maulana Azad National Urdu University

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India Cell : 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.isrj.org

ISSN: 2230-7850

Impact Factor : 4.1625(UIF)

Volume - 6 | Issue - 4 | May - 2016

1

ISRF Indian Streams Research Journal





Vilma Gisela Garcia¹, Nancy YanethGélvez, García² and Danilo Alfonso López Sarmiento³ ¹Social Science Graduate,Specialization in IT Management Universidad Libre, Cúcuta, Colombia. ^{2,3}Faculty of Engineering, Full time professor at Universidad Distrital Francisco José de Caldas, Bogotá, Colombia.

ABSTRACT

In this paper we show parts of an implementation that runs the following planning algorithms: Round robin, Short Remaining TimeFirst (SRTF), Shortest Job First (SJF), preemptive priority, nonpreemptive priority, multiple queues and multiple fed back queues; to make an analysis of the metrics of each in order to determine which algorithm is the optimum.

KEYWORDS : Processes, round robin, SRTF, SJF, multiple queues.

1. INTRODUCTION

Scheduling algorithms fulfill the function of defining the resources that a process uses for execution, highlighting the importance of good management to maximize CPU performance, because otherwise a process could cover all CPU resources, decreasing its effectiveness.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1. State of processes.

Processes have several models to define their states; in this case we will use the five states model that comprises: [3] Execution: The process is running.

Ready: The process is in memory, ready to move to running state. Blocked: The process cannot be executed because it is in conflict with another operation. Suspended: The process is waiting to move to the ready state. Terminated: The process has already completed its running state.

2.2. Scheduling algorithm.

It is an Operating System (OS) module, responsible for controlling the system tasks, managing the process execution state, and deciding at each step, which task should run in each moment. The purpose of planning is to ensure extensive use of the CPU, attempting to achieve equity in runtime access distribution [1].

2.3. Types of planning. Appropriative Planning:

The process "appropriates" the CPU, this means that the task assigned, remains at runtime until completion.

Non appropriative Planning: In this type of planning, tasks are assigned an execution time, and when it ends, or a given condition occurs, the running process can be "expelled", in order to serve another process in queue [2].

2.4. Scheduling Policies.

There are several policies to allocate the CPU to system processes.

• First in, first out (FIFO) (preemptive): Based on the guideline that the first process to enter the ready queue is the first to leave.

• Round Robin (NonPreemptive): It is assigned a period of time (Quantum), that the process will occupy the CPU. If the process is not completed, it is sent to the suspended queue, which after a period of time sends the process to the ready queue.

• Shortest process first (SJF) (preemptive): Selects the process with the shortest execution. A variation of this algorithm is its non-preemptive version, which chooses the process with the least running time remaining, expelling one with a greater runtime.

• Multiple queues: The ready queue is divided into several queues, each with a scheduling algorithm; and there is planning between queues, managed as levels. A variation of this algorithm is the queue feedback, which is essentially changing queue, or level, when the process has fulfilled a given waiting time in a given level queue [5].

3. STATE OF THE ART

In doing a literature review, the planning process was found to include areas such as:

- Quantitative analysis [6].
- Communications networks [7].
- Simulation [8].
- Computer industry [9].
- Benchmarking [10].

In these fields we observe significant presence of related disciplines such as software development, mathematical modeling and analysis of graphs [11].

2

4. ANALYSIS OF PLANNING ALGORITHMS

4.1. Analysis criteria

• Response time: The time needed to complete a p process outstanding work, including idle time waiting for execution.

- Standby time (lost time): CPU time, how long it is ready and waiting to run.
- Penalty ratio: Fraction of the response time during which p was waiting.
- CPU utilization: Percentage of time the CPU is doing useful work [4].

4.2. Simulation. The simulation is done by dividing the analysis into two sections, by the number of ready queues that each processor has:

- One queue: Round Robin, SJF, SRTF, preemptive priority, Non preemptive Priority.
- Several queues: multiple queues, multiple fed back queues.

The following parameters have been defined for all simulations, with the aim of analyzing the performance of scheduling algorithms.

Resources:

- Ram 128 mb.
- Printer 1 port.
- Speakers 1 port.

Processor1:

- P1 time=10 priority=User resource= ram-64 queuePriority=1
- P2 time=20 priority=System resource= speakers-1 queue Priority=1

Processor2:

- P3 time=20 priority=User resource= speakers-1 queue Priority=2
- P4 time=15 priority=System resource=printer-1-queue Priority=2
- P5 time=5 priority=E/S resource= ram-12 queue Priority=1

Processor3:

- P6-time040-Priority=System-resource=ram 64-queue Priority=1
- P7-time=18-priority=user-resource=printer-1-queue Priority=1
- P8-time=41-priority=E/S-resource=printer-1-queue Priority=1



The results of the Round Robin algorithm simulation are shown in Table 1.

4.3. Simulation results

					Metrics				
proce ssor	average response time (T)	Average waiting time (ready row) t	CPU time	CPU time (free)	Number of proccesse sserved	Average waiting tme E= T-t	average ratio penalizatio n P = T/t	Reply ratio average R= t/T	CPU use %
1	20.5	5.5	2194	1082	2	15	4	0.25	49.3161722 8805836
2	27.8	13.8	2184	1072	5	14	2.0769230 76923077	0.481481481 48148145	49.0842490 8424909
3	42.375	21.25	2125	1013	8	21	2	0.5	47.6705882 3529412
syste m	30.2249999 99999996	13.5166666 6666666	2167.66666 6666666	105566666 6666667	15	16.6666666 66666668	2.6923076 92307692	0.410493827 1604938	48.6903848 49449686

Table 1. Round Robin Algorithm Metric

The results of the SJF algorithm simulation are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. SJF algorithm metric

	Metrics													
processor	average response time (T)	Average waiting time (ready row) t	CPU time	CPU time (free)	Number of proccessesserved	Average waiting tme E= T-t	average ratio penalization P = T/t	Reply ratio average R= t/T	CPU use %					
1	NaN	NaN	5	1	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	80.00					
2	23.00	9.667	739	699	3	14	2.556	0.3913	5.413					
3	43.50	14.00	739	677	2	29	3.071	0.3256	8.390					
system	NaN	NaN	494.3	459.0	5	NaN	NaN	NaN	31.27					

The SRTF algorithm simulation results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. SRTF algorithm metric

	Metrics													
processor	average response time (T)	Average waiting time (ready row) t	CPU time	CPU time (free)	Number of proccessesserved	Average waiting tme E= T-t	average ratio penalization P = T/t	Reply ratio average R= t/T	CPU use %					
1	NaN	NaN	5	1	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	80.00					
2	23.00	9.667	739	699	3	14	2.556	0.3913	5.413					
3	43.50	14.00	739	677	2	29	3.071	0.3256	8.390					
system	NaN	NaN	494.3	459.0	5	NaN	NaN	NaN	31.27					

The results of the non-preemptive priority algorithm simulation are shown in Table 4.

Table 4.Non-preemptive priority Algorithm Metrics

	Metrics												
processor	average response time (T)	Average waiting time (ready row) t	CPU time	CPU time (free)	Number of proccessesserved	Average waiting tme E= T-t	average ratio penalization P = T/t	Reply ratio average R= t/T	CPU use %				
1	26.50	11.50	445	415	2	15	2.364	0.4231	6.742				
2	24.20	10.20	445	405	5	14	2.400	0.4167	8.989				
3	43.00	21.88	445	346	8	22	2.048	0.4884	22.25				
system	31.23	14.53	445.0	388.7	15	17.00	2.270	0.4427	12.66				

Available online at www.lsrj.in

4

		labi	e 5. Pr	eempti	ive priority algor	ithm met	ric		
					Metrics				
processor	average response time (T)	Average waiting time (ready row) t	CPU time	CPU time (free)	Number of proccessesserved	Average waiting tme E= T-t	average ratio penalization P = T/t	Reply ratio average R= t/T	CPU use %
1	25.50	10.50	797	767	2	15	2.500	0.4000	3.764
2	25.80	11.80	797	757	5	14	2.273	0.4400	5.019
3	44.00	22.88	797	698	8	22	2.000	0.5000	12.42
system	31.77	15.06	797.0	740.7	15	17.00	2.258	0.4467	7.068

The results of preemptive priority algorithm simulation are shown in table 5. **Table 5. Preemptive priority algorithm metric**

The results of the multiple queues algorithm simulation are shown in table 6. Table 6. Multiple queue algorithm metrics

	Metrics													
processor	average response time (T)	Average waiting time (ready row) t	CPU time	CPU time (free)	Number of proccessesserved	Average waiting tme E= T-t	average ratio penalization P = T/t	Reply ratio average R= t/T	CPU use %					
1	21.50	6.500	281	251	2	15	3.500	0.2857	10.68					
2	21.67	8.333	281	241	3	13	2.625	0.3810	14.23					
3	66.00	33.00	281	182	3	33	2.000	0.5000	35.23					
system	36.39	15.94	281.0	224.7	8	20.33	2.708	0.3889	20.05					

The results of the Fed Back Multiple Queues simulation algorithm are in Table 7. Table 7. Fed Back Multiple Queues Algorithm metrics

					Metrics				
processor	average response time(T)	Average waiting time (ready row) t	CPU time	CPU time (free)	Number of proccessesserved	Average waiting tme E= T-t	average ratio penalization P = T/t	Reply ratio average R= t/T	CPU use %
1	28.00	13.00	127	97	2	15	2.154	0.4643	23.62
2	23.33	10.00	127	87	3	13	2.300	0.4348	31.50
3	84.33	51.33	127	28	3	33	1.647	0.6071	77.95
system	45.22	24.78	127.0	70.67	8	20.33	2.034	0.5021	44-36

When making the simulation, the generated metrics (Tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5) provide information about the behavior of algorithms, regarding CPU usage, the algorithm that least used it, was SRTF, with 31% usage, while the lowest waiting time employed, was 10.50 seconds. As to the average response rate, the algorithm with the highest ratio is Preemptive Priority, with 0.4467.

It can be said that in terms of performance, for a scheduling algorithm with a ready single queue, the best alternative is SRTF, as it has the best processor usage, and better waiting time management in processes.

The metrics generated in multiple queues algorithms (Tables 6 and 7) show that the multiple

queues algorithm responds better than the fed back multiple queues, with 20% CPU usage and 115.94



seconds waiting time, and an average response ratio of 0.2889.

5. CONCLUSION

Scheduling algorithms enable optimizing CPU runtime according to the resources being handled at any given time.

Optimizing the system resources depends directly on how the scheduling algorithm organizes tasks to be carried out.

There may be combinations among the methods to schedule processes which are likely to increase performance, in terms of CPU usage.

REFERENCES

[1] Francisco J. Aliaga. Simuladores de planificadores de sistemas en tiempo real. Universidad de córdoba España.

[2] M. Barrionuevo. El Planificador de procesos a través de un simulador. Universidad de San Luis. Argentina

[3] Miranda Oscar. Kernel de Tiempo Real para Control de procesos. CINVESTAV-IPN, México D.F.[4] Wolf Gunnar. Planificación de Procesos. IIEc-UNAM.

[5] Juan Domínguez. Simulador de Algoritmos de planificación de la CPU. Universidad de Cádiz.

[6] Barrionuevo Mercedes; Apolloni Rubén; Piccoli, F. El planificador de procesos a través de un simulador. XV Congreso Argentino de Ciencias de la Computación. 2009.

[7] Milenkovic Milan. Sistemas operativos: conceptos y diseños. McGraw-Hill, 1999.

[8] Tanenbaum Andrew S; Guerrero Gabriel. Sistemas operativos distribuidos. México; Prentice Hall, 1996.

[9] Catalinas Enrique Quero. Sistemas operativos y lenguajes de programación. Editorial Paraninfo, 1999.

[10] Vega Miguel A-, et al. SMPCache: Simulador de sistemas de memoria caché en multiprocesadores simétricos. XI Jornadas de Paralelismo, Granada, 2000.

6

[11] M.J. Bach. The Design of the UNIX Operating System. Prentice Hall, 1986.



Vilma Gisela Garcia

Social Science Graduate, Specialization in IT Management Universidad Libre, Cúcuta, Colombia.

Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper, Summary of Research Project, Theses, Books and Book Review for publication, you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed, India

- International Scientific Journal Consortium
- ★ OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed, USA

- Google Scholar
- EBSCO
- DOAJ
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Databse
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database

Directory Of Research Journal Indexing

Indian Streams Research Journal 258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005, Maharashtra Contact-9595359435 E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com Website : www.isrj.org